Rubric for peer evaluations of storyboard presentations. Please provide constructive criticism of your peer’s presentations.

Name of peer being evaluated: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Dimension** | **Criteria (points)** | **Comments** |
| Introduction to topic |  Main message (goal) of the storyboard is articulated   Brief description of the study system   Questions / hypotheses being addressed   Methods (briefly)   (Expected) results |  |
| Figure 1 |  Introduces data / research area   Chart type matches data type   Appropriate labels of axes, scale and legend   Clear annotations   No clutter   Colorblind-friendly color scheme |  |
| Figure 2 |  Conveys message  (pattern / relationship / compare / contrast / change / variability)   Chart type matches data type   Appropriate labels of axes, scale and legend   Clear annotations   No clutter   Colorblind-friendly color scheme |  |
| Figure 3 |  Conveys message  (pattern / relationship / compare / contrast / change / variability)   Chart type matches data type   Appropriate labels of axes, scale and legend   Clear annotations   No clutter   Colorblind-friendly color scheme |  |
| Figure 4 (if applicable) |  Conveys message (pattern / relationship / compare / contrast / change / variability)   Chart type matches data type   Appropriate labels of axes, scale and legend   Clear annotations   No clutter   Colorblind-friendly color scheme |  |
| Presentation |  Clarity   Length   Aesthetics |  |
| Overall storyboard |  Logical flow   Coherence |  |